

RINGS WITH TORSIONLESS INJECTIVE HULLS

Kanzo MASAIKE

Department of Mathematics

(Received for Publication ; May 2 1994)

MASAIKE, K. : RINGS WITH TORSIONLESS INJECTIVE HULLS. Bull. Tokyo Gakugei Univ. Sect.
4, 47 : 13-17 (1995) ISSN 0371-6813

Abstract : We give a characterization of reflexive modules over QF-3' rings extending the concept of linearly compact modules.

KEY WORDS : maximal quotient ring, torsionless module, reflexive modules, dense left ideal.

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Gakugei University, Koganei-shi, Tokyo 184 Japan .

In [7] B. J. Müller proved that if R is a $P. F.$ ring, i. e., R is self-injective and cogenerator on both sides, then a left (or right) R -module M is reflexive, if and only if M is linearly compact. On the other hand, generalizing this result we proved in [6] that if R is a QF-3 ring with a (unique) minimal faithful left module fR , where $f = f^2 \in R$, a left R -module M is reflexive, if and only if M is embedded into a direct product P of copies of the left R -module R such that P/M is torsionless and for any finitely solvable system of congruences $\{x \equiv fx_i \pmod{M_i}\}_{i \in I}$, where M_i is a submodule of M , is solvable. In this case fR becomes a minimal dense left ideal of R and this ideal plays an important role for the characterization of reflexive modules.

Let us denote by $I({}_R M)$ the injective hull of the left module M over a ring R . We shall say that a ring R is QF-3', if $I({}_R R)$ and $I(R_R)$ are torsionless. It is to be noted that recently, Gómez Pardo and Guil Asensio [1] gave a characterization of reflexive modules over QF-3' rings by a generalized concept of linearly compactness introduced by Hoshino and Takashima [2]. Evidently, rings of this type have no minimal dense left ideal in general. The purpose of this note is to study reflexive modules over QF-3' rings concerning certain two-sided ideals which are similar to minimal dense left ideals of QF-3 rings.

In this paper a left R -module M is called *torsion free*, if M is embedded into a direct

product of copies of $I({}_R R)$. A left ideal D of R is called *dense*, if the right annihilator of D in $I({}_R R)$ is zero. It is well known that a two-sided ideal of R is a dense left ideal, if and only if it has no non-zero right annihilator in R . A submodule M of a left R -module N is said to be rationally closed, if N/M is torsion free. Let M be a torsion free left R -module. Set $Q(M) = \{m \in I({}_R M); \text{there exists a dense left ideal } D \text{ such that } Dm \subseteq M\}$. Especially, $Q(R)$ becomes an extension ring of R . In the following we denote this ring $Q(R)$ by Q . Then, Q is called a *maximal left quotient ring* of R (cf [3],[8]). In this case, $Q(M)$ becomes a left Q -module and it is called a *quotient left module* of M (with respect to Lambek torsion theory). From results of [4] it is easily seen that if R is QF-3', then a maximal left quotient ring coincides with a maximal right quotient ring. Throughout this paper every homomorphism between modules will be written on the opposite side of scalars.

Proposition 1. *Let R be a QF-3' ring with a maximal quotient ring Q . If T is the trace ideal of $I(R_R)$, then T is a dense left ideal of R , and TQ is contained in R .*

Proof. Suppose $0 \neq r \in R$. Since $I(R_R)$ is torsionless, there exists $f \in \text{Hom}(I(R_R)_R, R_R)$ such that $f(R) \neq 0$. Then, $f(1)r \neq 0$, where 1 is the identity element of R , implies T has no non-zero right annihilator in R .

Next, assume $q \in Q, f \in \text{Hom}(I(R_R)_R, R_R)$ and $m \in I(R_R)$. As Q is a maximal right quotient ring of $R, I(R_R)$ becomes a right Q -module. Furthermore, every R -homomorphism from $I(R_R)$ to R is a Q -homomorphism, Therefore, we have $f(m)q = f(mq) \in R$ and hence $TQ \subseteq R$.

Lemma 2. *If a left module M over a QF-3' ring R is contained in $\prod_{i \in I} R$, a direct product of copies of R . Then, the quotient module $Q(M)$ is contained in $\prod_{i \in I} Q$. Furthermore, if M is embedded in $\prod_{i \in I} R$ as a rationally closed submodule, then $TQ(M)$ is contained in M , where T is the trace ideal of $I(R_R)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1 $T(\prod_{i \in I} Q) \subseteq \prod_{i \in I} R$. Since T is a dense left ideal of $R, \prod_{i \in I} R$ is a dense submodule of $\prod_{i \in I} Q$. Then, it is easy to see that $\prod_{i \in I} Q$ is a quotient module of $\prod_{i \in I} R$. Hence $Q(M)$ is contained in $\prod_{i \in I} Q$. Further, assume M is rationally closed in $\prod_{i \in I} R$. Then, we have $M = Q(M) \cap \prod_{i \in I} R \supseteq TQ(M)$.

Let M be a left R -module. Put $M^* = \text{Hom}({}_R M, {}_R R)$ and $M^{**} = \text{Hom}(M^*, R_R)$. If M is isomorphic to M^{**} , canonically, M is said to be *reflexive*. If X is a subset of M^* , we shall put $r(X) = \{f \in M^* ; (X)f = 0\}$, which is a right R -submodule of M .

Lemma 3. *Let R, T be the same as in Proposition 1 and M a reflexive left R -module. Assume $\{x \equiv m_i \text{ Mod } M_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a finitely solvable system of congruences, where M_i is a rationally closed submodule of M . Then, for every $t \in T$ the system of congruences $\{x \equiv tm_i \text{ Mod } M_i\}_{i \in I}$ is solvable.*

Proof. Let $f \in \sum_{i \in I} r(M_i)$. Assume by finite subsets A and B of I we can write $f = \sum_{i \in A} f_i = \sum_{j \in B} f_j$, where $f_i \in r(M_i)$ and $f_j \in r(M_j)$. Put $C = A \cup B$. Since $\{x \equiv m_i \text{ Mod } M_i\}_{i \in I}$ is

finitely solvable, there exists $m_c \in M$ such that $(m_k) f_k = (m_c) f_k$, $k \in C$. Then, $\sum_{i \in A} (m_i) f_i = \sum_{i \in A} (m_c) f_i = \sum_{i \in B} (m_c) f_i = \sum_{i \in B} (m_j) f_j$. Hence we can define $\theta \in (\sum_{i \in A} r(M_i))^*$ by $\theta(f) = \sum_{i \in A} (m_i) f_i$. Assume $a \in I(R_R)$ and h is an R -homomorphism from $I(R_R)$ to R . There exists a canonical mapping $\bar{a} : R \rightarrow I(R_R)$. The R -homomorphism $\bar{a} \cdot \theta : \sum_{i \in A} r(M_i) \rightarrow I(R_R)$ is extended to an R -homomorphism $\theta' : M^* \rightarrow I(R_R)$. Since $h \cdot \theta'$ is an element of $M^{**} = M$, there exists $m \in M$ such that $[h(a) \cdot \theta](f_i) = h \cdot \theta'(f_i) = (m) f_i = (h(a) m_i) f_i$ for every $f_i \in r(M_i)$. It follows that $(h(a) m_i - m) f_i = 0$ and then $h(a) m_i - m \in \{y \in M_i; (y) f_i = 0 \text{ for every } f \in r(M_i)\} = M_i$, since M_i is rationally closed in M . Therefore, we can easily check that $\{x \equiv t m_i \text{ Mod } M_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a solvable system of congruences for every $t \in T$. This completes the proof.

Assume M is a left module over a ring R . Then, from the same argument as in the proof of [6, Theorem 3] M is reflexive, if (and only if) M is embedded in a direct product of copies of R as a rationally closed submodule and M is embedded in M^{**} canonically as a dense submodule.

Theorem 4. *Let R be a QF-3' ring and M a left R -module. Then, the following conditions are equivalent ;*

(i) M is reflexive.

(ii) M is embeded into a direct product P of copies of R and P/M is torsionless, and there exists a dense left ideal D satisfying ; ,

(a) If $\{x \equiv m_i \text{ Mod } M_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a finitely solvable system of congruences, where M_i is a rationally closed submodule of M and $m_i \in M$, then the system of cogrunences $\{x \equiv d m_i \text{ Mod } M_i\}_{i \in I}$ is solvable for every $d \in D$.

(b) $DQ(K) \subseteq K$ for every torsion free homomorphic image K of M .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let T be the trace ideal of $I(R_R)$. Set $D = T^2$. Clearly, D is a dense left ideal by Proposition 1. In view of the proof of [6, Theorem 3] and Lemma 3 it suffices to show (b). Let Z be a rationally closed submodule of M . Since $M/Z (=K, \text{ say})$ is a torsionless left R -module, it is embedded into K^{**} , canonically. From [5, Lemma 1.5] K^{**} is embedded into a direct product of copies of R as a rationally closed submodule and hence from Lemma 2 $TQ(K^{**}) \subseteq K^{**}$. If we show that TK^{**} is in K , we have $DQ(K) \subseteq K$ and this completes the proof. Let $\theta \in K^{**}$, $a \in I(R_R)$, $\bar{a} : R \rightarrow I(R_R)$ canonically and $h \in I(R_R)^*$. Let $\pi : K_R^* \rightarrow M_R^*$ be the canonical R -monomorphism. Since $\bar{a} \cdot \theta \in \text{Hom}(K_R^*, I(R_R)_R)$, there exists a mapping $\beta : M^* \rightarrow I(R_R)$ such that $\bar{a} \cdot \theta = \beta \cdot \pi$. As $h \cdot \beta \in M^{**}$ and M is reflexive, there exists $m \in M$ such that for every $f \in K^*$ $[h \cdot \beta](\pi(f)) = (m)[\pi(f)] = (m+Z)f$. On the other hand, $[h \cdot \beta](\pi(f)) = [h(a) \theta](f)$. Therefore, $h(a) \theta$ is realized by the element $m+Z$ of K and we have $TK^{**} \subseteq K$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $\Psi \in M^{**}$ and $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in M^*$. Put $K = \{((x)g_1, \dots, (x)g_n) ; x \in M\} \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R$. By the proof of [6, Lemma 1] $(\Psi(g_1), \dots, \Psi(g_n)) \in Q(K)$. As K is a torsion free homomorphic image of M , $D(\Psi(g_1), \dots, \Psi(g_n)) \subseteq K$. Assume $c, d \in D$. For every $g \in M^*$ there

exists $m_g \in M$ such that $c\Psi(g) = (m_g)g$. Then, we can see $\{x \equiv m_g \pmod{\text{Ker } g}\}_{g \in M^*}$ is a finitely solvable system and then $\{x \equiv dm_g \pmod{\text{Ker } g}\}_{g \in M^*}$ is solvable, i. e., there exists $m \in M$ such that $(m)g = (dm_g)g = dc\Psi(g)$ for every $g \in M^*$. It follows that $D^2\Psi$ is contained in M , and this implies M is a dense submodule of M^{**} . Then, the consequence is immediate.

If a ring R has a maximal two-sided quotient ring Q and M is a torsion free left R -module. Then $({}_R M)^* = \text{Hom}({}_R M, {}_R R)$ is embedded in $({}_Q Q(M))^* = \text{Hom}({}_Q Q(M), {}_Q Q)$ canonically.

Theorem 5. *A left module M over a QF-3' ring R is reflexive, if and only if M is embedded in a direct product of copies of R as a rationally closed submodule and the left Q -module $Q(M)$ is Q -reflexive.*

Proof. Let M be a torsionless left R -module. Assume $f \in ({}_Q Q(M))^*$ and U the trace ideal of $I({}_R R)$. Then, by the left-right symmetry of Lemma 1 $(M)fU \subseteq R$ and this implies $({}_R M)^*$ is a dense R -submodule of the right R -module $({}_Q Q(M))^*$. Similarly, $({}_R M)^{**}$ is a dense submodule of the right R -module $({}_Q Q(M))^{**}$. Therefore, $({}_Q Q(M))^{**}$ is the quotient module of $({}_R M)^{**}$. If M is reflexive, $({}_Q Q(M))^{**}$ is the quotient module of M , i.e., $Q(M) = ({}_Q Q(M))^{**}$.

The "if" part is evident, since $M \subseteq ({}_R M)^{**} \subseteq ({}_Q Q(M))^{**} = Q(M)$ and this implies M is a dense submodule M^{**} .

A ring R is called left QF-3, if R has a (unique) minimal faithful left module, which is isomorphic to a direct summand every faithful left R -module. QF-3 rings means left and right QF-3. As an application of Theorem 3 of [6] we show the following

Theorem 6. *Every reflexive left module over a QF-3 ring has finite Goldie dimension.*

Proof. Let R be a QF-3 ring and M a reflexive left R -module. Suppose $A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ is an infinite direct sum of submodules of M . Let $M_i = \bigoplus_{j \in I \setminus \{i\}} A_j$ and fR the minimal faithful right R -module, where f is an idempotent in R . We can take a non-zero element $m_i = fm_i \in M_i$ for every $i \in I$. Then, $\{x \equiv fm_i \pmod{M_i}\}_{i \in I}$ is finitely solvable and hence by [6, Theorem 3] there exists $m \in M$ such that $m - m_i \in M_i, i \in I$. Since $m \in A$, its i -component is $m_i \neq 0$ and this is a contradiction.

References

- [1] J. L. Gomez Pardo and P. A. Asensio, Reflexive modules over QF-3' rings, preprint.
- [2] M. Hoshino and S. Takashima, On Lambek torsion theories II, Osaka J. Math. Vol.31 (1994) 729-746.
- [3] J. Lambek, Rings and Modules, Blaisdell, N. Y. 1966.
- [4] K. Masaike, On quotient rings and torsionless modules, Sci. Rep. Tokyo kyoiku Daigaku Vol. 11 (1971) 26-31.
- [5] K. Masaike, Duality for quotient modules and a characterization of reflexive modules, J. Pure

Appl. Algebra Vol. 28 (1983) 265-277.

- [6] K. Masaïke, Reflexive modules over QF-3 rings, *Canad. Math. Bull.* Vol. 35 (1992) 247-251.
- [7] B. J. Müller, Linearly compactness and Morita duality, *J. Algebra* Vol. 16 (1975) 49-72.
- [8] B. Stenström, *Rings of Quotients*, *Grund. Math. Wiss.* Vol. 217, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.

